

# APEC 8001 Recitation

Monique Davis

October 8, 2020

# Today's Agenda

- 1 General Questions and/or Concerns
- 2 Simple & Compound Lotteries
- 3 Assumptions on Lottery Preferences
- 4 Expected Utility Functions & the Expected Utility Theorem
- 5 More Difficult Topics from Lectures 7 & 8
- 6 Questions

# General Questions and/or Concerns

- APEC 8001 specific concerns
- Upcoming transition to APEC 8002
- Applied Micro sequence questions

# Simple & Compound Lotteries

## **Simple Lottery**

A **simple lottery**  $L$  is a list (**vector**) of the form  $L = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$

with  $p_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^N p_n = 1$

## **Compound Lottery**

Given  $K$  simple lotteries, **each of which is denoted by**

$L_k = (p_1^k, p_2^k, \dots, p_N^k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ , and has a probability  $\alpha_k \geq 0$ ,

with  $\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k = 1$ , the **compound lottery**

$(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_K; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K)$  is the risky object of choice that yields the simple lottery  $L_k$  with probability  $\alpha_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$

## Simple & Compound Lotteries

You will see that any compound lottery can be expressed as a **reduced lottery** by multiplying each probability in the simple lottery by the probability of that simple lottery occurring:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k p_1^k, \alpha_k p_2^k, \dots, \alpha_k p_N^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k (p_1^k, p_2^k, \dots, p_N^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k L_k \end{aligned}$$

# Assumptions on Lottery Preferences

## **Continuity of Preferences over Lotteries**

The preference relation  $\succsim$  on the space of simple Lotteries  $\mathcal{L}$  is **continuous** if, for any  $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{L}$ , the following two sets:

$$\alpha \in [0, 1] : \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L' \succsim L'' \subset [0, 1]$$

$$\alpha \in [0, 1] : L'' \succsim \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L' \subset [0, 1]$$

are closed sets.

## **Independence Axiom**

The preference relation  $\succsim$  on the space of simple lotteries  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the **independence axiom** if, for all  $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{L}$  and all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  the following holds:

$$L \succsim L' \text{ if and only if } \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L'' \succsim \alpha L' + (1 - \alpha)L''$$

# Expected Utility Functions & the Expected Utility Theorem

## **von Neumann – Morgenstern (v.N – M) Expected Utility Function**

The utility function  $U : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , has an v.N-M expected utility form if there is an assignment of numbers"  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)$  to the  $N$  possible outcomes such that for **every** lottery  $L = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N) \in \mathcal{L}$  we have:

$$U(L) = u_1 p_1 + u_2 p_2 + \dots + u_n p_N$$

## **Expected Utility Theorem**

Suppose that the **rational preference relation**  $\succsim$  on the space of lotteries  $\mathcal{L}$  **satisfies** the **continuity and independence** axioms.

Then  $\succsim$  can be represented by a utility representation of the expected utility form.

# Expected Utility Functions & the Expected Utility Theorem

Implications of this theorem can help to determine preferences over lotteries

- Each outcome of a lottery gives the consumer some utility
- For each lottery, assign a number for that utility to each outcome in the lottery
- Represent each lottery  $L$  in expected utility form  $U(L)$
- We can then use the relationships between preferences and utility to compare any pair of lotteries, say  $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$ :

$$L \succsim L' \text{ if and only if } U(L) \geq U(L')$$



## More Difficult Topics from Lectures 7 & 8

- Deadweight Loss from a Commodity Tax
- Money Metric Utility
- Welfare Analysis with Partial Information

# Questions?

Any remaining questions?